UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,411	10/17/2005	Martin Bossert	1454.1629	3710
21171 STAAS & HA I	7590 02/02/201 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	DV AVENIJE NIW		HO, HUY C	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/553,411	BOSSERT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUY C. HO	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Seconds</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>9-12 and 20</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the off Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of the second of the seco	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Pre-Brief Conference request filed on 09/08/2010, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Patrick N. Edouard/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2617

- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hosur (US Publication 2001/0033623) and further in view of Giannakis et al. (US Pub 2008/0037685).

Regarding claim 13, (Previously Presented) Hosur teaches a method of transmitting data by radio (*Hosur*, *the abstract*), comprising:

using a plurality of subcarriers of a frequency band and a plurality of antennas for transmission such that each antenna transmits data using the plurality of the subcarriers (*Hosur*, *paragraphs* [13]-[14], *OFDM using multiple antennas for transmitting symbols over subcarriers*);

dividing data for transmission into a plurality of data elements such that the number of data elements corresponds to the number of subcarriers (*Hosur*, *paragraphs*;

for each antenna, assigning each element to a subcarrier for transmission, such that for at least two antennas and at least one subcarrier, different elements are assigned to said one subcarrier (Hosur, paragraphs [33]-[40], two antennas assigned two symbols on a same subcarrier);

performing an OFDM modulation for each antenna to produce timing sequences of timedependent signals (*Hosur*, *paragraphs* [22]-[24]); and

for at least one antenna, rearranging the order of the time-dependent signals after OFDM modulation (Hosur, paragraphs, [42]-[46], for multiple antennas, the data symbols on the corresponding subcarriers are transformed with estimated coefficient matrix of size of antennas time data symbols, or orders of data symbols are changed).

Hosur does not teach performing re-arranging the order of time dependent signals after OFDM modulation. Giannakis teaches OFDM technique for modulation data streams and transmission of data symbols on to subcarriers where the technique further applies a permutation matrix to symbols of data streams to cyclic shift of symbols by their index for maximum diversity, or other words, rearranging or cyclic shift the symbols using permutation matrix for the symbols (*Giannakis*, the abstract, paragraphs [8]-[10], [25]-[26], claims 1 and 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply permutation matrix to cyclic shift to OFDM symbols to rearrange symbols for maximum diversity performance for MIMO system using OFDM technique as discussed by Giannakis to Hosur's OFDM/MIMO wireless system to provide better performance and maximum ratio combining of data on the same subcarrier from multiple antennas as taught by Hosur (Hosur paragraph [13]).

Regarding claim 14, (Previously Presented) Hosur, as modified by Giannakis, teaches the method in accordance with claim 13, wherein for at least two antennas, the order is rearranged in accordance with a rearrangement common pattern (*Giannakis*, *paragraphs* [8]-[10], [25]-[26], *claims* 1 and 14).

Regarding claim 15, (Previously Presented) Hosur, as modified by Giannakis, teaches the method in accordance with claim 14, wherein the rearrangement common pattern is a cyclic permutation (*Giannakis*, *paragraphs* [8]-[10], [25]-[26], *claims* 1 and 14).

Regarding claims 16, 18, (Previously Presented) Hosur, as modified by Giannakis, teaches the method in accordance with claims 15, 13, wherein for at least two antennas an assignment common pattern is used to assign each element to a corresponding subcarrier (*Giannakis*, *paragraphs* [8]-[10], [25]-[26], claims 1 and 14).

Regarding claim 17, (Previously Presented) Hosur, as modified by Giannakis, teaches the method in accordance with claim 16, wherein the assignment common pattern is a cyclic permutation (*Giannakis*, paragraphs [8]-[10], [25]-[26], claims 1 and 14).

Regarding claim 19, (Previously Presented) Hosur, as modified by Giannakis, teaches the

Art Unit: 2617

method in accordance with claim 18, wherein the common pattern is a cyclic permutation (*Giannakis*, paragraphs [8]-[10], [25]-[26], claims 1 and 14).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 9-12 and 20 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art of record fails to disclose or teach or suggest claimed features "before performing an OFDM modulation for each antenna, multiply each element by an antenna-specific and an element-specific factor".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY C. HO whose telephone number is (571)270-1108. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,411 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

/Huy C Ho/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Patrick N. Edouard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617